More Than One Way to Debrief

A Critical Review of Healthcare Simulation Debriefing Methods

Taylor Sawyer, DO, MEd;

Walter Eppich, MD, MEd;

Marisa Brett-Fleegler, MD;

Vincent Grant, MD;

Adam Cheng, MD

Summary Statement:DebrieÞng is a critical component in the process of learning through healthcare simulation. This critical review examines the timing, facilitation, conversational structures, and process elements used in healthcare simulation debrieÞng. DebrieÞng occurs either after (postevent) or during (within-event) the simulation. The debrieÞng conversation can be guided by either a facilitator (facilitator-guided) or the simulation participants themselves (self-guided). Postevent facilitator-guided debrieÞng may incorporate several conversational structures. These conversational structures break the debrieÞng discussion into a series of 3 or more phases to help organize the debrieÞng and ensure the conversation poceeds in an orderly manner. DebrieÞng process elements are an array of techniques to optimize reßective experience and maximize the impact of debrieÞng. These æ divided here into the following 3 cate-

Google Scholar were searched using the search terms ÔÔde-brief*ÕÕ and ÔÔsimul*.ÕÕ The literature search was conducted between June 2014 and October 2015, with a Þnal search dates of October 14, 2015. The literature search was iterative, with repeated searches of the literature to examine speciÞc topic areas. Hand searches of bibliographies and the exam-

optimizing learning through simulation Ensuring that the learning objectives are covered in the debrieping can be facilitated by including them in the simulation scenario template. Providing a phase in the debrieping to analyze learning objectives is included in all of the postevent debrieping conversational structures. Although it is important to address all the learning objectives during the debrieping, these learning objectives do not necessarily need to be revealed to the scenario participants in details.

Asking Open-Ended Questions

Asking open-ended questions helps facilitate discussion and is designed to foster reßection and self-assessment on the part of the simulation participant^{26,3338} Examples of



the use of video review are adjuncts that facilitators can employ to attempt to enhance the debrieping experience. Ensuring psychological safety, establishing a shared mental model, addressing key learning objectives, using open questions, and silence are mandatory components of any debrieping.

This report has several limitations. The review followed a nonsystematic critical synthesis approach. Thus, it is prone to all the risks, and beneÞts, of a nonsystematic review.

Though not ÔÔsystematic,ÕÕ the authors sought to conduct a thorough and comprehensive review of the pertinent literature. In addition, the authors themselves have signiÞcant experience with debrieÞng research, providing them with content expertise, which they leveraged during the review. Another potential limitation is the lack of clear deÞnition of some terms used (eg, ÔÔfeedback,ÕÕ ÔÔprocess elements,ÕÕ etc.); thus, some terminology utilized here may not match the deÞnitions used by some simulation educators. In this review, we attempted to assimilate the literature to clarify how debrieÞng is structured, organized, and conducted in an effort to advance the Þeld. We believe that the topic areas of

- Rudolph J, Simon R, Dufresne R, Raemer D. ThereÖs no such thing as ÔônonjudgmentalÕÖ debrieÞng: a theory and method for debrieÞng with good judgment.
 H 2006;1(1):4\$55.
- Zigmont JJ, Kappus LJ, Sudikoff SN. The 3D model of debrie Png: defusing, discovering, and deepening. 2011;35(2):5258.
- 35. Phrampus P, OÕDonnell J. DebrieÞng using a structured and supported approach. In: Levine A, DeMaria S, Schwartz A, Sim A, eds.

 C S S H S Springer; 2013:785.
- Jaye P, Thomas L, Reedy G. ÔÔThe DiamondÕÕ: a structure for simulation debrief. 2015;12(3):17/1175.