



Ca

C. Re-examination and Reaffirmation

As earlier stated, I have accepted the call by current advocates of restricting hate speech and pornography to re-examine the law

mark free speech rulings that set forth the foregoing two core principles concerning viewpoint neutrality and "clear and present danger." That re-examination has left me more impressed than ever

immigrants—the participants in that activity will resort to threats and violence in lieu of the contractual and other

illegality of prostitution, and the exploitation of pornographic actresses and models by their employers is parallel to the exploitation of illegal immigrant labor by their employers. These women would be better off if all pornography were legal.⁶³

I will now expand upon several of the common reasons why censoring hate speech or pornography would be as dangerous for equality rights as for free speech rights.

A. Free Speech Is Especially Important to People Who Have Traditionally Suffered from Discrimination

First and foremost, all groups who seek equal rights and freedom have an especially important stake in securing free speech. Throughout history, free speech consistently has been the greatest

law against a challenge under the free speech provision in Canada's Constitution.⁸⁸ Under this law, in 1993, Canadian customs officials detained at the U.S.-Canadian border a shipment of

fifteen hundred copies of a book called *Black Looks: Race and Representations*, by the black feminist professor bell hooks.⁸⁹ These books had been en route to several Canadian universities.⁹⁰

4 11 116 ev32m (relig11 ional)Tj 10.6 0 06ev32m F5 1 Tf enchag

