CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY

Faculty Senate Executive Committee Meeting of January 15, 2004 at 8 a.m. Room 352, Adelbert Hall

AGENDA

8:00 a.m.	0.	Selection of Reporter to Faculty Senate Meeting	D. Singer
	1.	Approval of Minutes of the Meeting of December 2, 2003	D. Singer
8:10	2.	President's Announcements	E. Hundert
8:20	3.	Interim Provost's Announcements – Advance NSF	L. Singer
8:30	4.	Chair's Announcements – Discussion and Dinner Meeting with Trustees Academic Affairs/Student Life On February 19, 2004	D. Singer
8:40	5.	University Library Committee Report: Copyright Policy (please see appropriate section of the Faculty Handbook, starting on pg. 59, specifically pgs. 74-82)	J. Orlock J. Eustis
9:00	6.	School of Medicine Request for Exception to the Faculty Handbook Rule on Proportion of Tenured or Tenure-Track Faculty to [pgs.)3000(74-	D. Anker -82)t)3r73000()3(h8(out)6.3()] [J(

CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY

Faculty Senate
Executive Committee
Meeting of January 15, 2004, 8:00 a.m.
Room 352 - Adelbert Hall

Committee members in attendance:

Cynthia BeallEdith LernerThomas BishopFrank MeratWilliam DealCarol MusilKathleen FarkasSpencer NethLynne FordDavid SingerEdward M. HundertLynn Singer

Also in attendance

Joanne Eustis, Director of University Libraries John Orlock, Chair of the University Libraries Committee

The meeting was called to order at 8:05 a.m. by Professor David Singer, Chair of the Faculty Senate.

Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the Faculty Senate Executive Committee meeting of December 2, 2003 were approved, with the recommended addition of a note about an item under Chair's Announcements, that communications from students not come directly to the Senate unless they are in response to Senate business.

President's Announcements

President Hundert announced the appointment of a new Provost, cedgseEgnew Provost,

He also said that he and Bo Carlsson have been asked to serve as the faculty representatives on the vice presidential debate planning committee, and he would appreciate receiving any input from faculty constituents that he can return with to the next planning committee meeting. It was noted that the University's theme committee has just reached their decision for next year. Lynn Singer said she would discuss this at the next Deans Council meeting. Suggestions ranged from discussing the History of the Vice Presidency to consulting with the Historical Society and the Baker-Nord Center. This should be academically oriented and university-based.

University Library Committee Report

John Orlock, committee chair, reported, with Joanne Eustis, on the work leading to this Copyright Compliancy Policy. Libraries Director Eustis emphasized that this is a very important document that we should be actively disseminating to all faculty. She said that much of this is to address changes in use of digital information and dissemination of same. It was further suggested that we hold a forum to explain the policy. Ms. Eustis was asked to try to write up an executive summary for the Senate meeting though it was noted that the present 21 page policy was very condensed. The committee will have another report later in the semester on other work.

MOTION made, and unanimously approved, to recommend to the Faculty Senate that this policy be approved.

School of Medicine Request for Exception to the Faculty Handbook Rule on Proportion of Tenured or Tenure-track Faculty to Non-tenure Track Faculty

Associate Dean Dan Anker, the anticipated presenter, was unable to attend the meeting. Memos from the Faculty Council and from the Dean Horwitz were distributed and discussed. D. Singer outlined the Faculty Handbook statements initiated last year and noted that it was expected that at least two other schools would also be making such a request. It was also noted that the purpose of this Handbook rule is to provide oversight of a school.

Executive Committee members asked that Dr. Anker be asked to respond to these two questions at the Faculty Senate meeting: Whether the School of Medicine wishes to make a recommendation on a replacement for this policy on percent of faculty who should be tenured or on the tenure track? If not, why not? and What is the role of tenured [or tenure track] faculty in the school?

The Executive Committee voted to approve this request from the School of Medicine and put it on the agenda for the next Senate meeting on January 26th - 7 in favor and 1 abstention.

Approval of the Agenda

The meeting adjourned at 9:20 a.m.

All voted to approve the agenda for the Faculty Senate meeting of January 26, 2004 as submitted.

Tc-0.0022 Tw[10.00260.0007 Tc[5.4\$\mathcal{3}.1bineE. Ford h\reft]j1098_	that the r