

FSCUE Ad Hoc Subcommittee on Advising Feedback  
Robin Dubin, Prince Ghosh, Jeff Wolcowitz, Bill Yu  
November 1, 2016 Updated January 22, 2017 February 5, 2017

On February 3, 2015, the Undergraduate Student Government (USG) passed a resolution (attached) requesting the creation of a system by which students can provide anonymous feedback on their experience with academic advising. FSCUE discussed this resolution during the 2015 academic year and created an ad hoc subcommittee in Fall 2016 to propose a plan for implementing such a system. The subcommittee (Robin Dubin, Prince Ghosh, Jeff Wolcowitz, Bill Yu) started by outlining a set of parameters for such a system and then moved on to developing a set of questions to be included

1. Who should be evaluated?

Students should evaluate their current (as of the date prior to the opening of the evaluation system) academic advisor(s) who has (have) authority to lift their registration advising holds, i.e. their major advisor(s) or their First Seminar instructor/advisor(s). If a student has more than one advisor, he or she should be asked to evaluate each of them separately.

2. When should students complete advising evaluations?

All undergraduates should be asked to complete advising evaluations as part of spring semester course evaluations each year.

## ADVISING FEEDBACK

I have not worked with this advisor this year because another advisor lifts my advising hold.

I work with this advisor, but choose not to respond to this survey.

Each of the following questions would appear with the following options:

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
- Not Applicable

1. My advisor is available and accessible in person, by email, or by phone.

What could your advisor do to improve the quality of his/her advising?

What can you do to get more out of working with your advisor?